

# Chapter 5

## Consultation and Coordination

### A. Pre-Draft Consultation

Consultation with various individuals, groups, organizations, public agencies, and local governments began in the summer of 1998. The AHRA managers began soliciting scoping issues and concerns with the existing plan from the AHRA Citizens Task Force, many clubs, organizations, city/county governments, federal and state agencies within the upper Arkansas River Corridor.

A plan public involvement plan was prepared and shared with the Citizens Task Force at their September 1998 meeting. This River Management Plan Process table is shown, as updated, in APPENDIX C. Over 40 + informal meetings with a wide variety of governmental staffs, groups, were carried out, with around 530 contacts soliciting inputs or concerns with the existing river plan. Public news releases, radio talk shows, letters requesting input, etc. were carried out within the river corridor. Materials detailing these early scoping stage consultations on the plan scoping is available at the AHRA office.

The first comprehensive listing of issues for river plan revision was completed after a series of four open public meetings in Canon City, Cotopaxi, Salida, and Leadville. This listing shows the issues by categories, specific issue questions, and detailed descriptions of each issue. This initial listing, completed in December of 1998, is available at the AHRA office.

A series of nine evening public workshops were held during January, February, and March of 1999 designed to refine and explain in more depth the 90 + river plan revision planning issues. Around 135 different individuals, groups, organizations, agencies, etc. were involved in this stage of the consultation process. A 2010 Vision Statement was developed as well as potential changes to areawide multiple use goals, areawide recreation goals, segment specific recreation management objectives within the existing river plan were identified. Write ups on each of the 90+ issues to clarify how they were to be addressed within the plan revision was accomplished. Twenty-one task groups/sub-committees were formed to address these planning issues.

The public workshops produced tentative recommendations on what revision actions to the river plan that would potentially be needed to resolve the developed planning issues. These were shared with a large number of attendees at the Annual Watershed Forum in Canon City on April 23 and 24, 1999.

A Citizens Task Force, multiple-agency & AHRA staff two day retreat was held to put the final touches on the vision statement, plan revision issues, recommendations for plan revisions based

upon those issues, and the planning process. The AHRA managers were requested to develop a first rough draft plan revision document. Other meetings/ briefings were also held to share the retreat results, i.e. AHRA Area Staff, BLM Managers/Staff, AROA Members, CDOW Managers/Staff, Parks Managers/Staff, CROA Members, TU Members, Arkansas River Watershed Council, etc. In late January 2000 this rough draft plan revision was shared with the Citizens Task Force for review and comment. This initial draft plan can be viewed at the AHRA offices.

With comments and final changes provided by the CTF, the AHRA Managers prepared a cleaner version of the river plan including; a Chapter 1 - Background and Issues, Chapter 2 - Proposed Plan Revision, Chapter 3 - Affected Environment, Chapter 4 - Supplemental Environmental Assessment, Chapter 5 - Consultation and Coordination, and six Appendices. This package was reviewed, revised, and re-written between May and August of 2000 by the BLM Inter-Disciplinary Team prior to being finalized in September of 2000. This final document, including all the appendices, were used in a detailed briefing to the BLM Field Office and Colorado State Office Managers, to the Colorado State Parks Board, Regional Office and State Office Managers, and to the AHRA Citizens Task Force Members prior to sending it out for draft public review. This public draft may be viewed at the AHRA office.

## **B. Draft Public Review**

The complete draft plan revision package was mailed out to over 200 individuals, organization, agencies, governmental entities in late September 2000. News releases on the availability of the plan revision package as well as other notices of where copies of the original plan/EA were available were also sent in a media contact process. Reprinted copies of the original river plan and EA were made available at many locations for reviewers, i.e. the AHRA office, various CDPOR/CDOW/USFS/BLM offices, county courthouses, and other public offices. This original was also placed on the Internet with links to various web sites. The draft plan revision and EA was made available through the BLM inter-net EA review process as well.

A series of five evening open houses were held on the plan revision during the first week of October 2000 at Canon City, Cotopaxi, Salida, Buena Vista, and Leadville. More details on the background information for these public review open houses, news releases, Email locations, sites for various review copies or to obtain printed versions of the draft river plan revision are available to be viewed at the AHRA offices. A substantial effort was made by the AHRA Managers, as well as, various members of the AHRA Citizens Task Force to get out to speak to community groups, carry out briefings to agencies, local governments, and other entities to raise the awareness of the availability of the draft plan revision package.

## **C. Comments and Responses**

During the 30 day public comment period, all letters, open house comment forms or E-mails received, some 760 inputs/comments, have been documented and responses prepared for the final document. Responses to all of these written comments are included in this chapter of this final document. Letters, forms, or E-mails that were received after the closing date of the comment period will not become a part of the official record and will not be responded to in the final document. However, all of these late comments were alike or very similar to comments received within the closing date of October 20<sup>th</sup>, therefore no comments have gone un-responded to.

Table 5-1 presents a listing of those 99 commenters who submitted appropriate written input on the proposed plan revision/supplemental environmental analysis. They are numbered in the order in which they were received in the offices of the AHRA. These documents are available to be reviewed at the AHRA offices. .

**Table 5-1 Commenters**

| <b>Commenters Number</b> | <b>Commenter Name</b> | <b>Commenter Affiliation {if any}</b> |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1                        | Jon Strickler         | Self                                  |
| 2 {see # 47}             | Ric Alesch            | Colorado White Water Association      |
| 3                        | Roger Lynn            | Self                                  |
| 4                        | Tom Wingard           | Self                                  |
| 5 {see # 38}             | Brian LeDoux          | Self                                  |
| 6                        | Doug Ragan            | Self                                  |
| 7                        | Harland Pfitz         | Self                                  |
| 8                        | John Wells            | Self                                  |
| 9                        | Paul Mcune            | Self                                  |
| 10                       | Lynn Uhl              | Self                                  |
| 11 {see #21}             | Chad Gorby            | Summit Kayak                          |
| 12                       | Gordon Banks          | Self                                  |
| 13                       | Landis Arnold         | Self                                  |
| 14 {see # 23}            | Craig Hazelton        | Self                                  |
| 15                       | Kon Wyatt             | Self                                  |
| 16                       | Tim Wyatt             | Self                                  |

| <b>Commenters<br/>Number</b> | <b>Commenter<br/>Name</b> | <b>Commenter Affiliation<br/>{if any}</b>                                 |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| 17                           | Donna Murphy              | Self  |
| 18                           | Andy Waldbart             | Rocky Mountain Outdoor Center   |
| 19                           | John Walker               | Self  |
| 20                           | Tom Meagher               | Self  |
| 21 {see # 11}                | Chad Gorby                | Summit Kayak  |
| 22 {see # 34}                | Bob Hamel                 | Arkansas River Tours  |
| 23 {see # 14}                | Craig Hazelton            | Self  |
| 24                           | Ken Ransford              | Self  |
| 25                           | Sarah Detoni              | Self  |
| 26                           | Liz Hall                  | Self  |
| 27                           | Jerry Rifkin              | Self  |
| 28                           | Doug Ellis                | Rocky Mountain Canoe Club   |
| 29                           | Lori Hladik               | Colorado White Water Association  |
| 30                           | Ray Kitson                | American Adventure Expeditions  |
| 31                           | Adam Price                | Self  |
| 32                           | Reed Dils                 | Four Corners Rafting  |
| 33                           | Erin Johnson              | Echo Canyon   |
| 34 {see #22}                 | Bob Hamel                 | Arkansas River Tours  |
| 35                           | Darren Olsen              | White Water Encounters  |
| 36                           | Chuck Huggs               | Acquired Tastes   |
| 37                           | Kathy Kean                | Wilderness Aware Outfitters   |
| 38 {see #5}                  | Brian LeDoux              | Self  |
| 39 {see #40}                 | Joe Greiner               | Wilderness Aware  |
| 40 {see # 39}                | Joe Greiner               | AHRA Citizen Task Force Member & Arkansas<br>River Outfitters Association |
| 41                           | Mark Robbins              | Self  |
| 42                           | Linda Davis               | Self  |
| 43                           | SeEtta Moss               | Audubon Society   |

| <b>Commenters<br/>Number</b> | <b>Commenter<br/>Name</b> | <b>Commenter Affiliation<br/>{if any}</b> |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| 44                           | Pam Fitz                  | Self                                      |
| 45                           | Bob Brunton               | Self                                      |
| 46                           | Tommy Wingard             | Self                                      |
| 47 {see # 2}                 | Ric Alesch                | Colorado White Water Association          |
| 48                           | Julie Berg                | Self                                      |
| 49                           | Megan Macomber            | Self                                      |
| 50                           | Bryan Powers              | Self                                      |
| 51                           | Karen Robine              | Self                                      |
| 52                           | David Snyder              | Self                                      |
| 53                           | Doug Ross                 | Self                                      |
| 54                           | Jo Johnson                | Self                                      |
| 55                           | Paul Tefft                | Self                                      |
| 56                           | Wendy Wyman               | Self                                      |
| 57                           | Tim Bliss                 | Self                                      |
| 58                           | Carey Bruteig             | Self                                      |
| 59                           | Bruce Stevens             | Self                                      |
| 60                           | John Martin               | Self                                      |
| 61                           | Claire McGrath            | Self                                      |
| 62                           | Patrick Fraser            | Self                                      |
| 63                           | Kirsten Davis             | Self                                      |
| 64                           | Lisa Ronaldson            | Self                                      |
| 65                           | Scott Keen                | Self                                      |
| 66                           | Kyle Burris               | Self                                      |
| 67                           | Ann Roe                   | Self                                      |
| 68                           | Robert Martinich          | Self                                      |
| 69                           | Karen Egbert              | Self                                      |
| 70                           | Biran Youll               | Self                                      |
| 71                           | Kelly Stainback           | Self                                      |

| <b>Commenters<br/>Number</b> | <b>Commenter<br/>Name</b> | <b>Commenter Affiliation<br/>{if any}</b> |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| 72                           | Jeannie Walsh             | Self                                      |
| 73                           | Matt Agrer                | Self                                      |
| 74                           | Ron Bauer                 | Self                                      |
| 75                           | Jon Hitchings             | Self                                      |
| 76                           | Robert Walsh              | Self                                      |
| 77                           | Brian Gardel              | Self                                      |
| 78                           | Jay Gingrich              | Self                                      |
| 79                           | Danna Preusser            | Self                                      |
| 80                           | Natiale Parker            | Self                                      |
| 81                           | Steve Telegner            | Self                                      |
| 82                           | Doug Brown                | Self                                      |
| 83                           | Bob Benton                | Self                                      |
| 84                           | Randy Kenney              | Self                                      |
| 85                           | Steve Daherty             | Self                                      |
| 86                           | Jay Kenney                | AHRA Citizens Task Force                  |
| 87                           | Patricia Kreski           | Self                                      |
| 88                           | Elizabeth Stine           | Self                                      |
| 89                           | Randy Kennedy             | Self                                      |
| 90                           | Liz Hall                  | Self                                      |
| 91                           | Amy Winter                | Self                                      |
| 92 {see # 78}                | Jay Gingrich              | Self                                      |
| 93                           | Andy Neinus               | Self                                      |
| 94                           | Dennis Wied               | Self                                      |
| 95                           | Denny Lee                 | Self                                      |
| 96                           | Denny Lee                 | Capricorn Sports                          |
| 97                           | Patty Kreski              | Sunshine Studio                           |
| 98                           | River Ranger Staff        | AHRA                                      |
| 99                           | John Kreski               | Sunshine Studio                           |

Table 5-2 presents the comments received and the responses to those particular comments. To the degree feasible all of the comments are grouped into appropriate categories, i.e. carrying capacities, environment, vision statement, wildlife related, capacity related, fisheries related, etc. and in some cases may be para-phased for a large grouping of similar comments or for duplicated comments through form letters or form emails.

**Table 5-2 Comments and Responses**

| <b>Committer Record Number</b>   | <b>Comment</b>  | <b>Response</b>   |
|--|---|---|
| 11-2, 44-1, 47-1, 48-8, 48-13, 49-1, 50-1, 51-1, 52-1, 53-1, 54-1, 55-1, 56-1, 57-1, 58-1, 59-1, 60-1, 61-1, 62-1, 63-1, 64-1, 65-1, 66-1, 67-1, 68-1, 69-1, 70-1, 71-1, 72-1, 73-1, 74-1, 75-1, 76-1, 77-1, 78-1, 79-1, 80-1, 81-1, 82-1, 83-1, 84-1, 85-1, & 92-13 | Measuring commercial and private boating by counting boats not people understates true river use. | While counting boats instead of each individual boater using the Arkansas River may not be the optimum method for monitoring boating use on the river, it has been an acceptable method since the recreation area's creation in 1989 and it was the method used by BLM prior to the recreation area's establishment. It is possible that use may actually be over-counted or over-stated, i.e. a private or commercial raft can hold 8 or 10 persons but the average is nearer to 5 or 6 persons. Private boaters, as well as commercial boaters, are counted in both rafts and kayaks. Significant private boating occurs in both kayaks and rafts. Since all historic use has been carried out this way, it is our intention on continuing this counting method and the accompanying resource monitoring program to ascertain the true affect of use on the river corridor. |
| 47-8, 62-6, 63-6, 64-6, 65-6, 66-6, 67-6, 68-6, 69-6, 70-6, 71-6, 72-6, 73-6, 74-6, & 75-6   | Lack of broad-based input into planning process.  | While more commercial boaters did actually attend the three early public scoping meetings in 1998, the nine public workshops in 1999, and the five public meetings in 2000 than did private boaters, all had equal opportunity to be involved in the process. Other user groups, i.e. anglers, environmental interests, river property owners, local governmental entities, etc. were also very much involved in the planning process. We did have private boating representatives at the early scoping meetings, substantial private boating representation at most of the nine public workshops, private boaters did attend most of the five public meetings. Private boating is equally represented on the AHRA Citizens Task Force along with environmental, river property owners, anglers, water owners, local governmental entities and commercial boaters.            |

|  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| <p>45-2, 47-1, 47-9, 62-7, 63-7, 64-7, 65-7, 66-7, 67-7, 68-7, 69-7, 70-7, 71-7, 72-7, 73-7, 74-7, 75-7, 76-6, 77-6, 78-6, 79-6, 80-6, 81-6, 82-6, 83-6, 84-6, 85-6, &amp; 92-13</p> | <p>Questioning assumption that capacities can be increased without degrading experience.</p>                      | <p>We cannot identify where in chapter one we depicted an assumption that capacities can be increased without degrading experience. Reductions in carrying capacity were considered and in fact were made, i.e. Stone Bridge to Big Bend was reduced from 450 to 150 commercial boats and 200 to 150 private boats. Continual monitoring of the physical environment and the user experience has occurred during the last ten years, i.e. five different user surveys throughout the river corridor and five years of physical monitoring in Browns Canyon. These studies and analysis are what determines the need to reduce or increase carrying capacities along the river.</p> |
| <p>40-23</p>   | <p>Conflict on responsibility between CDPOR &amp; CDOW for interpretive &amp; visitor information.</p>            | <p>There appears to be no conflict, both agencies have clear responsibility for both interpretive and visitor information programs. In implementing these programs these agencies, as well as BLM and USFS will confer, collaborate, coordinate, etc. to assure that conflicts do not occur and that overlaps are minimized. The CMA language will be clarified to the extent possible.</p>  |
| <p>40-25</p>   | <p>CDOW should seek consensus on recreation activities for their {CDOW} land to avoid conflict on plan goals.</p> | <p>CDOW has agreed to confer, collaborate, coordinate, etc. with all of the AHRA partners on their recreation activities on their owned/leased properties within the river corridor to the extent they legally can to avoid conflicts or overlaps.</p>   |
| <p>40 27</p>   | <p>Conflicts between management plan and CMA regarding how fees are spent {all to recreation}.</p>                | <p>All recreation fees collected on public lands along within AHRA will be used for recreation management within the AHRA. The plan states this and we believe that the CMA says the same thing. The CMA does specifically state that “donations” are to also be used within the recreation area. The CDPOR puts more funding back into the recreation area {about 15% more than is generated from fees} than is brought into the area in all forms of fees. The BLM also puts around \$165,000 in operating into the recreation area that is not generated as fees.</p>   |

|  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
| <p>1-2, 2-1, 2-2, 3-1, 5-1, 5-2, 6-1, 6-2, 7-1, 8-1, 8-2, 10-1, 12-1, 13-3, 14-2, 20-3, 23-1, 24-3, 31-4, 31-5, 44-8, 45-1, 45-3, 47-16, 47-17, 48-12, 49-6, 50-6, 51-6, 52-6, 53-6, 54-6, 55-6, 56-6, 57-6, 58-6, 59-6, 60-6, 61-6, 62-13, 62-14, 63-13, 63-14, 64-13, 64-14, 65-13, 65-14, 66-13, 66-14, 67-13, 67-14, 68-13, 68-14, 69-13, 69-14, 70-13, 70-14, 71-13, 71-14, 72-13, 72-14, 73-13, 73-14, 74-13, 74-14, 75-13, 75-14, 76-12, 76-13, 77-12, 77-13, 78-12, 78-13, 79-12, 79-13, 80-12, 80-13, 81-12, 81-13, 82-12, 82-13, 83-12, 83-13, 84-11, 84-12, 85-11, 85-12, 90-2, 90-3, 91-6, 91-7, 92-1 &amp; 92-2</p> | <p>Comment period was too short ...should be extended for 90 days...should hold extra meetings for private boaters in other cities outside of the river corridor, i.e. Denver, Boulder, Kansas City, Albuquerque, etc.</p> | <p>Neither the BLM planning process nor the CDPOR management plan revision policy require an extensive public review process for this management plan revision or supplemental environmental assessment. However, using a designed and very elaborate planning process over a 30 month period {to include thirty seven different briefings with some 600+ citizen and agency contacts in 1998 &amp; 1999, holding three early public scoping meetings in 1998, nine public workshops in 1999, utilizing 21 work committees, five public meetings in 2000, making extensive use of the 14 member multi-representative AHRA Citizens Task Force, mailing out over 200 + printed draft management plans/supplemental environmental assessments, placing the plan revision on various web sites, doing extensive and successful media releases on the availability of the draft plan requesting public comment, and providing for a 30 day comment period} provided more than adequate time for public involvement. At the request of the Denver /Boulder boaters, specifically the Colorado White Water Association, the AHRA Managers traveled to Denver on November 29<sup>th</sup> to meet with them on their issues and concerns related to the AHRA Management Plan and the Environmental Assessment.</p> |
| <p>40-1</p>  | <p>Canon City shouldn't be the only nomination coordinator for Council of Governments.</p>   | <p>The Citizens Task Force has 2 local government representatives, one is currently from Fremont County, a County Commissioner, and the other is the City of Poncha Springs Administrator. The Upper Arkansas Valley Council of Governments, involved with all cities, counties, special governmental districts, etc. is the organization that is used as a clearing house to make recommendations to the AHRA Managers for potential membership on the Citizens Task Force.</p>  |
| <p>40-9</p>  | <p>In Chapter II page 18, para 4.... add "or commercial" after recreational to eliminate all forms of motorized use.</p>   | <p>This clarification change has been made and now reads, "Do not allow the use of motorized watercraft for recreational or recreational related commercial purposes on the river."</p>   |

|   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <p>43-2, 43-3, 43-4, 43-5, 43-6, &amp; 43-7</p> | <p>Supportive of efforts to protect the Great Blue Heron Rookery, the goal to protect avian and other wildlife from human disturbance, the effort to see cottonwood trees identified as resource for bald eagles, and studies/monitoring to protect herds of Bighorn Sheep. Would like to see efforts also to protect Blue Heron Rookery and wetlands east of Canon City near the Ash Street Hill.</p> | <p>We feel that this lower river stretch has important resources that must be managed and monitored carefully. The acquisition of the Blue Heron Site near Hwy 115 and the river will help in these efforts. The Ash Street site near Canon City is in private hands but is on the desired protection list of the River Walk Group in Canon City.</p>  |
| <p>40-10</p>                                    | <p>In Chapter II on page 20 in para 2 add “or barricade” to avoid adverse impacts.</p>   | <p>This clarification change has been made and now reads, “Conduct cultural inventories of areas subject to increased use and physical disturbance; mitigate or barricade to avoid adverse impacts.”</p>   |
| <p>40-15</p>                                    | <p>In Chapter II Section F. - Segment-Specific Actions....There are no fisheries improvement commitments in this section of the plan.</p>  | <p>We find several fisheries improvements listed under “Resource Management” actions, i.e. “Protect the quality fishery in Segment 1A”, “Continue.....to provide for rehabilitation of the.....Watershed”, “Maintain and improve fisheries quality....”, “Identify specific points for stream improvement projects...”, “Monitor the quality of fisheries.....”, etc. While no detailed project level proposals are listed in the plan, the AHRA partnership will carry out the collaborative fisheries improvements as they are needed.</p> |
| <p>38-4</p>                                     | <p>Do not improve Grape Creek site for fishing access and emergency access into the Gorge.</p>   | <p>We acquired 460 acres several years ago at the mouth of Grape Creek to provide hike-in fishing access, as well as for emergency access when needed, to the public. Improvements will be limited to completing the obtaining of legal access past the “pink house” fence for trail head parking and signing. We intend to complete this process.</p>   |
| <p>38-5 &amp; 48-7</p>                          | <p>Use money slated for sunshelters at Parkdale and put it towards purchase and upkeep of native trees.</p>  | <p>In the short term we intend to develop one or more large group sun shelters at the Parkdale site and probably some small table shelters. In the long term we will continue to plant and nurture native trees for mature growth to provide additional shade and screening.</p>   |

|       |   |   |
|-------|---|---|
| 43-9  | Do not develop a boat chute or portage around the CF&I dam in order to preserve a “quiet area”.                                       | This low head dam is extremely unsafe and absolutely needs to be dealt with as an acquisition or easement to allow the boating public to portage around the dam while canoeing the river. The typical use in this river stretch historically has been non-commercial private individual and family canoeing and kayaking. We feel it is imperative to give solution to this unsafe boating condition. |
| 43-10 | Modify plans at Pueblo Reservoir entrance to limit size and reduce impact to heron rookeries.   | This comment is unclear to us, however if it is relating to the level of boating use coming down the river to the reservoir....we have reduced the boating capacity, for both commercial and private use, for this segment from the proposed level of 60 boats a day down to 45 boats a day in light of your concern for the avian resources.   |
| 30-4  | Facilities already at capacity are becoming overcrowded due to increased usage.   | We do have some facilities that are nearing or exceeding the planned use capacities. In some instances we are expanding the capacities of the facilities and in some instances we are not, depending upon whether it is appropriate to expand or not.   |
| 40-6  | In Chapter II on page 12 - Add “weed control” to resource management section.   | We have an areawide implementing action on page 2-21 that states to work with agencies to facilitate noxious weed control within AHRA.  |
| 40-7  | In Chapter II on page 14 - Add “or harden” to river sites found to be unacceptably impacted.  | We may or may not exercise the procedure of “hardening” of a site depending upon how appropriate that procedure would be in light of the recreation guidelines for the health of the land as shown in detail in APPENDIX F.   |
| 40-13 | In Chapter II on page 25, in 2 <sup>nd</sup> para - “Sanitation Problem”, paragraph inappropriate, para 10 on Page 24 is much better. | The statement now on page 2-22 “Monitor sanitation problems and collaboratively work with users, both private and commercial, to provide appropriate on -river sanitation facilities. “ is still deemed to be appropriate within the plan.  |
| 40-14 | In Chapter II on page 26, in 1 <sup>st</sup> para - Too difficult to understand i.e. site development that is done vs. undone.        | We have rewritten these sections into table format which should be easier to understand which items have been completed while still showing the plans intention as to the development desired at that site.   |
| 40-16 | Has list of improvements if needed.   | A detailed listing of appropriate improvements that evolved through the plan revision process may be seen in APPENDIX D.  |
| 92-4  | Make land acquisitions a priority over improvements to existing facilities.   | While both processes are important for the full implementation of the management plan the acquisitions usually by necessity come first prior to the improvement or placement of new facilities.   |

|   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| <p>10-2, 13-2, 17-1, 22-2, 34-3, 37-3, 39-2, 47-7, 92-8</p>   | <p>Reduce the commercial raft traffic in the Browns Canyon subsection</p>   | <p>The present level of rafting, both commercial and private, during the high use season may or may not be reduced in the future depending upon monitoring of conditions within Browns Canyon. Over the last four to five years, excessive degradation at some specific sites has occurred as well as sanitation problems now exist. If future monitoring, increased user awareness, rehabilitation efforts, do not give solution to these issues then it would indicate that raft traffic may need to be reduced. The plan allows for this to occur if required.</p> |
| <p>14-3, 17-2, 28-2, 31-2, 37-2, 39-1</p>   | <p>Do not increase commercial or private raft use in the Brown Canyon subsection.</p>   | <p>The plan does not now indicate an increase in rafting capacities. See response above for related details on this issue.</p>  |
| <p>22-4</p>   | <p>Ration private boating use in the Browns Canyon subsection.</p>  | <p>There are indications that private boating may be reaching the point where rationing may become necessary. See the above two comments and responses for more clarification.</p>  |
| <p>47-5, 62-4, 63-4, 64-4, 65-4, 66-4, 67-4, 68-4, 69-4, 70-4, 71-4, 72-4, 73-4, 74-4, 75-4, 76-4, 77-4, 78-4, 79-4, 80-4, 81-4, 82-4, 83-4, 84-4, 85-4</p> | <p>Supports increasing the private allocation in the Browns Canyon subsection by 50 bpd even though private boaters avoid this area</p> | <p>The plan initially looked at the possibility of increasing private boating numbers in Browns Canyon, but now resolves to keep that level of use the same. See related discussion above in Comment/Response 10-2.</p>   |
|   |   |   |